[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NO	DRTHERN DISTRICT O EASTERN DIVISION	NO
Angela Shippert		MAR 04 2025 THOMAS G. BRUTON CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff(s),)	20
vs.) Case	e No. 25-50089
ILLINOIS STATE BOARD		-
OF EDUCATION	,	
Defendant(s).)	

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

This form complaint is designed to help you, as a pro se plaintiff, state your case in a clear manner. Please read the directions and the numbered paragraphs carefully. Some paragraphs may not apply to you. You may cross out paragraphs that do not apply to you. All references to "plaintiff" and "defendant" are stated in the singular but will apply to more than one plaintiff or defendant if that is the nature of the case.

- 1. This is a claim for violation of plaintiff's civil rights as protected by the Constitution and laws of the United States under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, and 1986.
- 2. The court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 1367.
- 3. Plaintiff's full name is <u>Angela Danielle Shippert</u>.

If there are additional plaintiffs, fill in the above information as to the first-named plaintiff and complete the information for each additional plaintiff on an extra sheet.

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

4.	Defe	ndant, Illinois State Board of Education, is			
		(name, badge number if known)			
or examined	· Dan	□ an officer or official employed by;			
	□ an	(department or agency of government)			
		or			
	□ an	individual not employed by a governmental entity.			
If the defer	ere are o ndant ar	additional defendants, fill in the above information as to the first-named nd complete the information for each additional defendant on an extra sheet.			
5.	The r	nunicipality, township or county under whose authority defendant officer or official			
	acted	is As to plaintiff's federal			
	const	itutional claims, the municipality, township or county is a defendant only if			
	custo	m or policy allegations are made at paragraph 7 below.			
6.	On or	r about at approximately \(\sigma \text{a.m.} \sqrt{p.m.}\) (month,day, year)			
	(month,day, year) plaintiff was present in the municipality (or unincorporated area) of				
	Y	, in the County of,			
		of Illinois, at			
		(identify location as precisely as possible)			
	when	defendant violated plaintiff's civil rights as follows (Place X in each box that es):			
×.		arrested or seized plaintiff without probable cause to believe that plaintiff had			
	-	committed, was committing or was about to commit a crime;			
		searched plaintiff or his property without a warrant and without reasonable cause;			
		used excessive force upon plaintiff;			
		failed to intervene to protect plaintiff from violation of plaintiff's civil rights by			
		one or more other defendants; failed to provide plaintiff with needed medical care;			
		conspired together to violate one or more of plaintiff's civil rights;			
	X	0.1			
		See attached			

Case: 3:25-cv-50089 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/04/25 Page 3 of 7 PageID #:3

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

De	fendant officer or official acted pursuant to a custom or policy of defendant
mι	nicipality, county or township, which custom or policy is the following: (Leave blank
if i	no custom or policy is alleged):
Pla	uintiff was charged with one or more crimes, specifically:
	See attached
-	
	lace an X in the box that applies. If none applies, you may describe the criminal oceedings under "Other") The criminal proceedings
	lace an X in the box that applies. If none applies, you may describe the criminal
(P pr	lace an X in the box that applies. If none applies, you may describe the criminal occeedings under "Other") The criminal proceedings
- (P pr	lace an X in the box that applies. If none applies, you may describe the criminal occeedings under "Other") The criminal proceedings are still pending.
<i>pr</i>	lace an X in the box that applies. If none applies, you may describe the criminal occeedings under "Other") The criminal proceedings are still pending. were terminated in favor of plaintiff in a manner indicating plaintiff was innocent. Plaintiff was found guilty of one or more charges because defendant deprived me of a
pr	lace an X in the box that applies. If none applies, you may describe the criminal occeedings under "Other") The criminal proceedings are still pending. were terminated in favor of plaintiff in a manner indicating plaintiff was innocent.

¹Examples of termination in favor of the plaintiff in a manner indicating plaintiff was innocent may include a judgment of not guilty, reversal of a conviction on direct appeal, expungement of the conviction, a voluntary dismissal (SOL) by the prosecutor, or a *nolle prosequi* order.

Case: 3:25-cv-50089 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/04/25 Page 4 of 7 PageID #:4

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

See Attached.	
	d.
•	
	t,
	,
:	
·*	
Defendant acted knowingly, intentionally, willfully an	nd maliciously
As a result of defendant's conduct, plaintiff was injure	ed as follows:
See Attached	

Case: 3:25-cv-50089 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/04/25 Page 5 of 7 PageID #:5

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

14. Plaintiff also claims violation of rights that may be protected by the laws of Illinois, such as false arrest, assault, battery, false imprisonment, malicious prosecution, conspiracy, and/or any other claim that may be supported by the allegations of this complaint.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff asks for the following relief:

- A. Damages to compensate for all bodily harm, emotional harm, pain and suffering, loss of income, loss of enjoyment of life, property damage and any other injuries inflicted by defendant;
- B. (Place X in box if you are seeking punitive damages.) Punitive damages against the individual defendant; and
- C. Such injunctive, declaratory, or other relief as may be appropriate, including attorney's fees and reasonable expenses as authorized by 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

Plaintiff's signature: Well Shopet					
Plaintiff's name (print clearly or type): Angela Shippert					
Plaintiff's mailing address: 1631 1L Route 26					
City Dixon State IL ZIP	61021				
Plaintiff's telephone number: (641) 750-3450					
Plaintiff's email address (if you prefer to be contacted by email):					
angela. Shippert@gmail. com					
Plaintiff has previously filed a case in this district. Yes No					

Any additional plaintiffs must sign the complaint and provide the same information as the first plaintiff. An additional signature page may be added.

15.

If yes, please list the cases below.

Case: 3:25-cv-50089 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/04/25 Page 6 of 7 PageID #:6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS ROCKFORD DIVISION

Angela Shippert, Plaintiff, v. ILLINOIS STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION, Defendant.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, Angela Shippert, proceeding pro se, hereby files this Complaint for Injunctive Relief and Declaratory Judgment against the Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE), and alleges as follows:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as this case arises under the Constitution of the United States and involves the enforcement of federal law.
- 2. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Plaintiff resides in this district and the actions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district. The ISBE is located within the district and has a significant impact on education in the area.

II. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff: Angela Shippert, a taxpayer residing in the Northern District of Illinois, challenges the actions of the Illinois State Board of Education in continuing to enforce Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) programs in Illinois schools despite the directives of Executive Order 14151, which mandates the termination of such programs in schools receiving federal funding.
- 4. Defendant: The Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE), a state agency responsible for overseeing public education in Illinois, is named as the defendant in this action. The ISBE has refused to comply with Executive Order 14151, which calls for the termination of DEI programs, policies, and positions in schools receiving federal funding.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. On January 20, 2025, President Donald Trump issued Executive Order 14151, directing the termination of all diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) programs, policies, and mandates in federal agencies and in federally funded schools, including K-12 institutions.
- 6. The U.S. Department of Education sent a "Dear Colleague" letter to the Illinois State Board of Education earlier in January 2025, reminding the ISBE of its obligations under federal law.
- 7. Despite these federal mandates, Tony Sanders, the State Superintendent of the Illinois State Board of Education, has publicly stated that the ISBE will not cease DEI programs.
- 8. Sanders acknowledged that the federal government could potentially withdraw up to 10% of funding from Illinois school districts if the state does not comply with federal requirements.

9. Plaintiff, as a taxpayer, has been directly affected by ISBE's refusal to comply with federal mandates.

IV. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

100

Count I – Violation of the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution 10. The refusal of the Illinois State Board of Education to comply with Executive Order 14151 constitutes a direct violation of the Supremacy Clause (Article VI, Clause 2) of the United States Constitution.

Count II – Violation of the First Amendment Rights of Students 11. The Illinois State Board of Education's DEI programs, rooted in Critical Race Theory, compel students to adopt specific ideological beliefs about race and justice, thereby infringing upon their First Amendment rights.

Count III – Violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 12. By continuing to fund and promote DEI programs that preference certain racial groups, the Illinois State Board of Education is violating Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

Count IV – Violation of the Worker Freedom of Speech Act 13. The Illinois State Board of Education's enforcement of DEI policies violates the Worker Freedom of Speech Act, which was put into effect on January 1, 2025, and protects employees and students from mandatory participation in employer-sponsored ideological programs.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

- 1. Issue a declaratory judgment that the actions of the Illinois State Board of Education in continuing DEI programs in violation of Executive Order 14151 are unlawful.
- 2. Grant a permanent injunction compelling the Illinois State Board of Education to cease all DEI programs.
- 3. Order the Illinois State Board of Education to submit a compliance report within 30 days.
- 4. Award Plaintiff their costs of suit, and any other relief deemed just and proper.

Dated: February 28, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

Angela Shippert 1531 IL Route 26, Dixon, IL Phone: 641-750-3450 Email: angela.shippert@gmail.com